SfS²(SECURE for Student Success) First Annual Undergraduate Research Symposium







Solving Physics Equations with Neural Networks

Brandon Ismalej¹ Davit Gasparyan¹ Stepan Karapetyan¹ Faculty Advisor: Dr. Yang Peng²

Department of Computer Science¹ Department of Physics & Astronomy²

September 2024

SfS2 Research Symposium

2



Explaining Physics of the Pendulum





Gamma Values

- Gamma values between 0 1.045 behavior are predictable
- Between 1.046 1.258, behavior is chaotic (some are predictable)







- To approximate solution using:
 - Numerical Solver
 - Multilayer Perceptron
 - Kolmogorov-Arnold Network
 - Comparison



Numerical Solution

- Numerical Solution: Approximation using step-by-step calculations of Eq. 1.
- Methods: Euler's method, Runge-Kutta, etc., that estimate the solution at each step.
- **Goal:** Get close to the true solution using small, manageable calculations.

	= M pythonProject *		ά ŵ
	Project ~	Nain.py ×	
	Project ~	<pre>@ Vary > ***********************************</pre>	A7 A1
2 1 2	amma_array = n nodel_array = [for model_array for gamma in generate on iteration -13 on iteration -13	for model_array:	
<u>ひ</u> く ※ ゆ ビ	On iteration -14 On iteration -15 On iteration -6 On iteration -7 On iteration -8 On iteration -9 On iteration -10 On iteration -11 On iteration -12	Graphs Image: Cogged Her X RK45_1_10.png RK45_1_11.png RK45_1_11.png RK45_1_11.png	

① On iteration

① On ite



Numerical Solution's Method

Radau Method: Type of implicit Runge-Kutta method specifically designed for stiff ODEs.

• Why Radau?

- High Accuracy: Provides very accurate solutions, especially for challenging problems.
- Long-Term Stability: Maintains accuracy over long periods, crucial for complex or sensitive systems.

September 2024





To approximate solution using:

- Numerical Solver
 - Multilayer Perceptron
 - Kolmogorov-Arnold Network
 - Comparison

September 2024



One Neuron



A Neuron Contains:

- Input
- Weights
- Bias
- Activation FunctionOutput

September 2024

SfS2 Research Symposium

10



Multilayer Perceptron (MLP)

- Universal Approximation Theorem:
 - A single hidden-layer MLP can approximate any continuous function on a limited domain to any desired accuracy with enough neurons
- Architecture:
 - Input Layer
 - Hidden Layers
 - Output Layer
- Why MLP?
 - Simple and extensively studied



September 2024





(input)
$$X = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ X_3 \\ \vdots \\ X_n \end{bmatrix}$$
 $F_{nn}(X) = Y$ (output)
Loss function:
 $L(Y) = mistake$
Loss tells use how much of a
mistake MLP made, so we can
correct it
Then we use math to

correct the mistake

September 2024





To approximate solution using:

- Numerical Solver
 - Multilayer Perceptron
 - Kolmogorov-Arnold Network
 - Comparison



Kolmogorov Arnold Network (KAN)

Kolmogorov-Arnold Representation Theorem:

- Theoretical foundation for representing multivariate continuous functions as a sum of univariate functions

• Architecture:

- Multiple layers, each modeling a univariate func.
- Specific activation functions to capture non-linearities
- Provides structured representation, enhancing interpretability compared to traditional NNs



September 2024



Kolmogorov Arnold Network (KAN)

• Why use KAN as a physics-informed neural network (PINN)?

- Liu et al. claims that KAN's are promising alternatives to MLPs [1]:
 - KANs posses faster neural scaling laws that MLPs
 - Smaller KANs can achieve comparable accuracy than larger MLPs
 - KANs are more accurate and interpretable than MLPs





To approximate solution using:

- Numerical solver
 - Multilayer Perceptron
 - Kolmogorov-Arnold Network
 - Comparison

September 2024



Comparing MLP and KAN

- Small gamma (0.8), simulation time: 0-6s (No chaotic behavior)
- Data points: 1200
- For MLP we used: layers: [1, 50, 50, 1] num epochs: 30,000
- For KAN we used: layers: [1,12,12,1]
 - doesn't work
- layers: [1, 8, 8, 8, 1]
- works, much slower than MLP num epochs: 1,000





Comparing MLP and KAN

- Larger gamma (1.1), simulation time: 0-6s (chaotic behavior)
- Data points: 1200

• For MLP we used: layers: [1, 200, 200, 1] num epochs: 90,000

For KAN we used:
layers: [1, 8, 8, 8, 1]
works, much slower than MLP
num epochs: 1,000

September 2024



18



Comparing MLP and KAN

- Larger gamma (1.3), simulation time: 0-6s (chaotic behavior)
- Data points: 1200

• For MLP we used: layers: [1, 512, 512, 1] num epochs: 300,000

For KAN we used:
layers: [1, 8, 8, 8, 1]
works, much slower than MLP
num epochs: 1,000





Comparing Numerical Sol. and NN

- All neural network benchmarks were done using numerical solution
- It is much faster than MLP and KAN, even with max precision we could do, it took max of 32s to accurately approximate solution from time 0-6s for any

gamma.





Conclusion

- We did not find advantages of using Neural Network methods to solve nonlinear differential equations especially in chaotic regime.
- We didn't find that KAN has better performance than MLP, as claimed in [1].
- Newly developed MultKAN could improve performance [2].



References

- [1] Z. Liu *et al.*, "KAN: Kolmogorov-Arnold Networks," Apr. 30, 2024, *arXiv*: arXiv:2404.19756. doi: <u>10.48550/arXiv.2404.19756</u>.
- [2] Z. Liu *et al.*, "KAN 2.0: Kolmogorov-Arnold Networks Meet Science," Aug. 19, 2024, *arXiv*: arXiv:2408.10205. doi: <u>10.48550/arXiv.2408.10205</u>.





September 2024